

Singularities of projected immersions revisited*

Gábor Lippner

April 1, 2008

Abstract

Szűcs proved in [4] that the r -tuple-point manifold of a generic immersion is cobordant to the Σ^{1r-1} -point manifold of its generic projection. Here we slightly extend this by showing that the natural mappings of these manifolds are bordant to each other. The main novelty of our approach is that we construct the bordism explicitly.

1 Introduction

There is a surprising relation between the multiple-points of an immersion $g : M \looparrowright N \times \mathbb{R}$ and the singularities of its projection $f : M \rightarrow N$ that was found by Szűcs in [4] (see also [3]). Namely he showed that if N is a Euclidean space then the $r + 1$ -tuple-points of g are cobordant to the Σ^{1r} points of f . The proof of this result involved computing the characteristic numbers of the two manifolds and observing that they coincide.

It is very natural to ask whether this cobordism can be “seen” in an explicit way hidden in the geometry of f , not just as mere luck that all the characteristic numbers coincide.

We shall answer this question in the affirmative by constructing a cobordism that connects the two manifolds. This allows us to slightly extend the original theorem: instead of cobordism of manifolds we obtain singular bordism of maps, and we prove the theorem for any smooth target manifold N . (The notation and the necessary definitions are given in the next section.)

Theorem 1. *Let $f : M^n \rightarrow N^{n+k}$ be a prim map, and let $g : M \looparrowright N \times \mathbb{R}$ be its lift to an immersion. Then for any $r \geq 1$ we have $g_r \sim (\Sigma^{1r-1}(f) \hookrightarrow M)$, that is they represent the same element in the singular bordism group $\mathcal{N}_*(M)$.*

If M and N are oriented and the codimension k is odd, then $g_r \sim_{SO} (\Sigma^{1r-1}(f) \hookrightarrow M)$, that is they represent the same element in the singular oriented bordism group $\Omega(M)$.

2 Definitions and notation

Consider a proper, generic immersion $g : M^n \rightarrow N^{n+k}$ of a closed smooth manifold M to a smooth manifold N . The r -fold points of g are those points in N whose preimage consists exactly of r different points. We shall denote this set N_r . This is not always a closed set in N . Its closure $\bar{N}_r$ consists of those points that have at least r distinct preimages. Put $M_r = g^{-1}(N_r)$, this is the set of r -tuple points of g in the source manifold. Its closure is denoted by $\bar{M}_r$.

*This research was partially supported by grant T049449 of the Hungarian Scientific Research Fund. MSC2000: 57R45, 57R42

The sets M_r and N_r are generally not submanifolds of M and N but they are images of (non-generic) immersions of (possibly open) manifolds. Here we recall a well-known construction (see e.g. [2]) to fix the notation: Let

$$\hat{M}_r(g) = \{(x_1, \dots, x_r) \in M^{(r)} : g(x_1) = \dots = g(x_r), (i \neq j) \Rightarrow (x_i \neq x_j)\}.$$

The symmetric group S_r acts on this set freely in an obvious way. Let $[x_1, \dots, x_r]$ denote the equivalence class of $(x_1, \dots, x_r)$. On the other hand S_{r-1} also acts freely on the last $r-1$ coordinates. Here the equivalence class of $(x_1, \dots, x_r)$ is denoted by $(x_1, [x_2, \dots, x_r])$.

Definition 1. The sets of equivalence classes are denoted by

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\Delta}_r(g) &= \hat{M}_r(g)/S_r \\ \Delta_r(g) &= \hat{M}_r(g)/S_{r-1}.\end{aligned}$$

There are obvious mappings

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{g}_r : \widetilde{\Delta}_r(g) &\rightarrow N & \widetilde{g}_r([x_1, \dots, x_r]) &:= g(x_1) \\ g_r : \Delta_r(g) &\rightarrow M & g_r(x_1, [x_2, \dots, x_r]) &:= x_1 \\ s_r : \Delta_r(g) &\rightarrow \widetilde{\Delta}_r(g) & s_r(x_1, [x_2, \dots, x_r]) &:= [x_1, \dots, x_r].\end{aligned}$$

The images of $\widetilde{g}_r$ and g_r are clearly $\bar{N}_r$ and $\bar{M}_r$ and they are bijective to the points that have multiplicity exactly r . On the other hand s_r is clearly an r -sheeted covering.

The sets $\widetilde{\Delta}_r(g)$ and $\Delta_r(g)$ are called the r -fold multiple-point manifolds of g in the target and source respectively. They are indeed manifolds. To see this we need the notion of the fat and narrow diagonals. Let V be a manifold and $V^{(r)}$ its r -fold Cartesian product. Then let $\delta_r(V) = \{(x, x, \dots, x) \in V^{(r)} | x \in V\}$ and $\Delta_r(V) = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r) \in V^{(r)} | \exists i \neq j, x_i = x_j\}$ denote the narrow and the fat diagonals respectively. Consider the r -fold product $g^{(r)} : M^{(r)} \rightarrow N^{(r)}$. Clearly

$$\hat{M}_r(g) = (g^{(r)})^{-1}(\delta_r(N)) \setminus \Delta_r(M).$$

Since g is a generic immersion, $g^{(r)}$ is transverse to $\delta_r(N)$ and thus $\hat{M}_r(g)$ is a closed manifold of dimension $n - (r-1)k$. The symmetric group S_r acts on it freely, so after factoring out with the group actions of S_r or S_{r-1} we still get manifolds.

Remark 1. If M and N are oriented and the codimension is even, then the multiple-point manifolds can be given a natural orientation. If k is odd however, then the action of S_r contains orientation reversing elements, thus the factor manifolds will have no, or at least no preferred orientation.

Definition 2. Given a smooth map $f : M \rightarrow N$ where $\dim M \leq \dim N$, a point $x \in M$ is said to be a Σ^i point if the corank (i.e. the dimension of the kernel) of $df_x : T_x M \rightarrow T_{f(x)} N$ is i . The closure of the set of such points will be denoted by $\Sigma^i(f)$. If $i_1 \geq i_2$ then we can define $\Sigma^{i_1, i_2}(f) = \Sigma^{i_2}(f|_{\Sigma^{i_1}(f)})$. This method can be continued recursively to give the definition of $\Sigma^{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r)}$ points, where $i_1 \geq i_2 \geq \dots \geq i_r$. This classification of singular points is called the Thom-Boardman type. For details see e. g. [1].

Definition 3. A map $f : M \rightarrow N$ is said to be a *Morin* map if it has no Σ^2 points. The singularities of such maps are classified by their Thom-Boardman type, which can only be $\Sigma^{\overbrace{(1, 1, \dots, 1)}^r} = \Sigma^{1_r}$ for some $r \geq 0$. (In the notation of [1] this is A_r .) The set $\Sigma^{1_r}(f)$ is actually a submanifold of M .

Definition 4. A generic map $f : M \rightarrow N$ is called prim (*projected immersion*) if it has a specified lifting to a generic immersion, $g : M \looparrowright N \times \mathbb{R}$ (i.e. $f = \pi \circ g$, where $\pi : N \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow N$ is the projection). This lifting g has to be given up to regular homotopy. Such a map is necessarily a Morin map (i.e. its differential has corank at most one at any point), and so its singularities are classified by their Thom-Boardman type.

Definition 5. The fat diagonal of $\Sigma^{1r}(f) \times M^{(i-1)}$ can be defined analogously to $\Delta_i(M)$, since $\Sigma^{1r}(f) \subset M$ is a submanifold. Let us denote

$$\Delta_i^r(M) = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i) \in \Sigma^{1r}(f) \times M^{(i-1)} : \exists j \neq l, x_j = x_l\}.$$

Remark 2. For any manifold M we shall denote its cobordism class by $[M] \in \mathcal{N}_*$ and for a map $f : M \rightarrow N$ we shall denote its singular bordism class by $[f] \in \mathcal{N}_*(N)$. The cobordism relation for both manifolds and maps will be denoted by a $\sim$. If M is oriented then the same notation will be used for the corresponding classes in Ω_* and $\Omega_*(N)$ respectively.

3 Proof of the theorem

3.1 Preparations

Let us fix a prim map $f : M^n \rightarrow N^{n+k}$, its lift $g : M \looparrowright N \times \mathbb{R}$ and an integer $r \geq 2$ (for $r = 1$ the statement is obvious). We shall introduce auxiliary manifolds and their maps to M which we shall call 'mixed'-point manifolds. For any $1 \leq i \leq r$ let us consider those points in M that are i -tuple points of g and at the same time Σ^{1r-i} points of f . These points do not necessarily form a submanifold of M , but we can construct their resolution just like we did for the set of r -tuple points of an immersion: Let us consider the map

$$G_i := g|_{\Sigma^{1r-i}(f)} \times g \times \dots \times g : \Sigma^{1r-i}(f) \times M \times \dots \times M \rightarrow (N \times \mathbb{R}) \times \dots \times (N \times \mathbb{R}),$$

where we take $i - 1$ factors of M on the left, and thus i factors of $(N \times \mathbb{R})$ on the right. Since f is a generic prim map and g is its generic lift we have that G_i is transverse to the narrow diagonal $\delta_i(N \times \mathbb{R})$ outside of the fat diagonal $\Delta_i^{r-i}(M)$. Since g is an immersion the set $\hat{M}_{i,r-i}(f) := G_i^{-1}(\delta_i(N \times \mathbb{R})) \setminus \Delta_i^{r-i}(M)$ is a closed submanifold in $\Sigma^{1r-i}(f) \times M^{(i-1)}$. The symmetric group S_{i-1} acts on $\Sigma^{1r-i}(f) \times M^{(i-1)}$ by permuting the last $i - 1$ coordinates. This action restricted to $\hat{M}_{i,r-i}(f)$ is free, so we can factorize and get the manifold

$$\Lambda_r^i = \hat{M}_{i,r-i}(f) / S_{i-1}.$$

A point of Λ_r^i can be referred to as $(x_1, [x_2, \dots, x_i])$ where the x_j 's are all different, $g(x_1) = g(x_2) = \dots = g(x_i)$ and $x_1 \in \Sigma^{1r-i}(f)$. In this notation the desired resolution

$$\lambda_r^i : \Lambda_r^i \rightarrow M$$

is given by

$$(x_1, [x_2, \dots, x_i]) \mapsto x_1.$$

(The maps f, g are omitted from the notation.) It is easy to see that the manifold Λ_r^i has dimension $n - (r - 1)(k + 1)$ and in particular $\Lambda_r^r = \Delta_r(g)$, $\lambda_r^r = g_r$ and $\lambda_r^1 : \Lambda_r^1 \rightarrow M$ is the natural inclusion $\Sigma^{1r-1}(f) \hookrightarrow M$. Thus the theorem follows from the following lemma.

Lemma 1. $\lambda_r^1 \sim \lambda_r^2 \sim \dots \sim \lambda_r^r$, i.e. these maps represent the same class in $\mathcal{N}_*(N)$.

The proof consists of two very different ingredients. The first ingredient is the global construction of the desired cobordisms using the map f . The constructed spaces are easy to describe but they are not obviously manifolds. The precise proof that they are indeed manifolds requires detailed study of the map f near its singular points. Thus the second ingredient is a local computation using normal forms. This computation is only a technical point so first we give the proofs omitting the computational details. Then in section 4 we finally show how to carry out the computations used earlier.

3.2 Proof of Lemma 1

Let us again consider the map

$$G_i := g|_{\Sigma^{1r-i}(f)} \times g \times \cdots \times g : \Sigma^{1r-i}(f) \times M \times \cdots \times M \rightarrow (N \times \mathbb{R}) \times \cdots \times (N \times \mathbb{R}).$$

Let us define

$$\Delta_i^+ = \{(x, s), (x, t), \dots, (x, t)\} \in (N \times \mathbb{R})^{(i)} : s \geq t\}.$$

Outside of $\Delta_i^{r-i}(M)$ the map G_i is transverse to Δ_i^+ and $\partial\Delta_i^+ = \delta_i(N \times \mathbb{R})$, since both f and g are generic and thus self-transverse.

Let us now define $H' = G_i^{-1}(\Delta_i^+) \setminus \Delta_i^{r-i}(M)$. Transversality implies that H' is a (not necessarily compact) manifold with boundary $G_i^{-1}(\delta_i(N \times \mathbb{R})) \setminus \Delta_i^{r-i}(M) = \hat{M}_{i,r-i}(f)$. Let us denote the closure of H' in $\Sigma^{1r-i}(f) \times M^{(i-1)}$ by H . Obviously $H \setminus H' \subset \Delta_i^{r-i}(M)$. We have seen in section 3.1 that $\partial H'$ is a closed manifold disjoint from the fat diagonal. Thus $\partial H'$ is disjoint from $H \setminus H' \subset \Delta_i^{r-i}(M)$.

Let us take a point $(x_1, \dots, x_i) \in H \setminus H'$. Then by definition of H' there exist points y_j^k ($k \geq 1, i \geq j \leq 1$) that fulfill all the following requirements:

1. For every j we have $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} y_j^k = x_j$.
2. $y_1^k \in \Sigma^{1r-i}(f)$.
3. For any fixed k the y_j^k 's are all different.
4. $g(y_{j_1}^k) = g(y_{j_2}^k)$ for any $j_1, j_2 \geq 2$.
5. $f(y_{j_1}^k) = f(y_{j_2}^k)$ for any $j_1, j_2 \geq 1$.

Since g is a generic immersion, 3. and 4. imply that $\forall j > l \geq 2$ we have $x_j \neq x_l$. Then since $H \setminus H' \subset \Delta_i^{r-i}(M)$ there must be a $j > 1$ such that $x_1 = x_j$. Thus $y_1^k \rightarrow x_1$ and $y_j^k \rightarrow x_1$ as well. Furthermore $y_1^k \in \Sigma^{1r-i}(f)$. Theorem 2 in section 4 can be applied and hence $x_1 \in \Sigma^{1r-i+1}(f)$.

Conversely let us suppose that $x_1 \in \Sigma^{1r-i+1}(f)$ and $x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}$ are all different from each other and x_1 and $g(x_j)$ is the same for every $1 \leq j \leq i-1$. We want to show that in the neighborhood of $(x_1, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1})$ the set H is a compact manifold with boundary and $(x_1, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1})$ is on ∂H . First consider the first two factors separately from the others.

$$G_2 = g|_{\Sigma^{1r-i}(f)} \times g : \Sigma^{1r-i}(f) \times M \rightarrow (N \times \mathbb{R})^{(2)}.$$

Let us denote $H'_2 = G_2^{-1}(\Delta_2^+)$. By Theorem 3 in section 4 we know that locally around (x_1, x_1) its closure $H_2 = \text{cl}(H'_2)$ is a compact manifold with boundary $\partial H_2 = \{(u, u) : u \in \Sigma^{1r-i+1}(f)\}$. Clearly H is locally the complete intersection of $H_2 \subset \Sigma^{1r-i}(f) \times M$ around (x_1, x_1) and $\hat{M}_{i-2}(g) \subset M^{(i-2)}$ around $(x_2, \dots, x_{i-1})$. Thus

the genericity of f and g implies that H is also locally a compact manifold with boundary ∂H the complete intersection of ∂H_2 and $\hat{M}_{i-2}(g)$.

Thus H is a compact manifold. Its boundary consist of two disjoint components $H \setminus H'$ and $\partial H' = \hat{M}_{i,r-i}(f)$. The symmetric group S_{i-1} acts on $\Sigma^{1_{r-i}}(f) \times M^{(i-1)}$ by permuting the last $i-1$ coordinates. By definition H' is invariant under this action. The above considerations show that $\partial H'$ and $H \setminus H'$ are also invariant, and the action is free on each. Thus we can factorize by this action on H and get that the quotient is again a compact manifold $\hat{H}$ with boundary $\partial H'/S_{i-1}$ and $(H \setminus H')/S_{i-1}$. By definition $\partial H'/S_{i-1} = \hat{M}_{i,r-i}(f)/S_{i-1} = \Lambda_r^i$. On the other hand we have seen that

$$H \setminus H' = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i) \in \Delta_i^{r-i+1}(M) \setminus \Sigma^{1_{r-i+1}}(f) \times \Delta_{i-1}(M) : g(x_j) = g(x_l) \ (1 \leq j < l \leq i)\}.$$

Thus there is a natural map $\phi : (H \setminus H')/S_{i-1} \rightarrow \Lambda_r^{i+1}$ that is given by $\phi(x_1, [x_2, \dots, x_i]) = (x_1, [x_2, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_i])$ when $x_1 = x_j$. This map is clearly a diffeomorphism. Thus $(H \setminus H')/S_{i-1} = \Lambda_r^{i+1}$.

Finally projecting everything to the first coordinate we get a map $\hat{H} \rightarrow M$ that on the boundary coincides with λ_r^i and λ_r^{i+1} . Thus $\lambda_r^i \sim \lambda_r^{i+1}$. $\square$

Remark 3. If the codimension k is odd, then the codimension of g is even. So if M and N are oriented, then H' can be given a natural orientation. This is preserved by the action of S_{i-1} and so the manifold $\hat{H}$ that creates the cobordism between λ_r^i and λ_r^{i+1} is oriented. Thus $\lambda_r^i \sim_{SO} \lambda_r^{i+1}$ and the oriented part of theorem follows as well.

4 Local computations

Let us consider a prim map $f : M^n \rightarrow N^{n+k}$. Let us write $n = r(k+1) + z$. Then the Σ^{1_r} -points of f form a z -dimensional submanifold in M . Let $x \in \Sigma^{1_r}(f) \setminus \Sigma^{1_{r+1}}(f)$. Then (according to e. g. [1]) it is possible to take small Euclidean neighborhoods of x and $f(x)$ and introduce local coordinates such that f takes the following local normal form (we take both x and $f(x)$ to be in the origin):

$$\begin{aligned} F : (\mathbb{R}^{r(k+1)+z}, 0) &\rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^{1+k+(r(k+1)-1)+z}, 0) \\ (\underline{y}^r, \underline{y}^{r-1}, \dots, \underline{y}^1, \underline{s}) &\mapsto (p_0(y_0^k), p_1(y_0^k), \dots, p_k(y_0^k), \underline{y}, \underline{s}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\underline{y}^j = (y_0^j, y_1^j, \dots, y_k^j) \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ for every $1 \leq j \leq k$ and $p_i(x) = \sum_{j=1}^r y_i^j x^j$ are polynomials. By $\underline{y}$ we denote the collection of all y_i^j except y_0^k , so $\underline{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{r(k+1)-1}$. Finally $\underline{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_z) \in \mathbb{R}^z$. The variable y_0^k is special and will also be denoted by t . Note that $p_0(y_0^k) = p_0(t) = t^{r+1} + y_0^{r-1} t^{r-1} + \dots + y_0^1 t$ is actually degree $r+1$ in t , while for any $i > 0$ we have $p_i(y_0^k) = p_i(t) = y_i^r t^r + \dots + y_i^1 t$ which is degree r in t . We will think of the p_i mostly as polynomials of the single variable t .

Lemma 2.

1. The point $(t, \underline{y}, \underline{s})$ is a Σ^{1_j} -point of F if and only if $p_i'(t) = p_i''(t) = \dots = p_i^{(j)}(t) = 0$ for every $0 \leq i \leq k$.
2. The set of such points form a submanifold in $\mathbb{R}^{r(k+1)+z}$ which can be smoothly parametrized by $\underline{s}, \underline{y}^r, \dots, \underline{y}^{j+1}$.

Proof. Part 2 easily follows from part 1, since if $j < r$ and $\underline{s}, \underline{y}^r, \dots, \underline{y}^{j+1}$ are fixed, then $p_i^{(j)}(t) = 0$ is a non-degenerate linear equation for $\underline{y}^j$. This can be uniquely solved. Then $p_i^{(j-1)}(t) = 0$ is a non-degenerate linear equation for $\underline{y}^{j-1}$, and so on. Finally if $j = r$ then obviously the only solution is $y_i^l = 0$ for every i, l independently of $\underline{s}$. Thus it suffices to show part 1.

We will proceed by induction on j . The initial step $j = 1$ is easy to see: dF is singular if and only if $p_i'(t) = 0$ for every i and in this case $\ker dF$ is the t -axis. Now let us suppose we know the statement for $j - 1$ and take a point $x \in \Sigma^{1j}(F)$. Then $x \in \Sigma^{1j-1}(F)$ and $\ker d_x F \subset T_x \Sigma^{1j-1}(F)$. Then there is a sequence of points $x(i) = (t(i), \underline{y}(i), \underline{s}(i)) \in \Sigma^{1j-1}(F)$ such that $x(i) \rightarrow x = (t, \underline{y}, \underline{s})$, $\frac{t(i)-t}{|x(i)-x|} \rightarrow 1$ and $\frac{|\underline{y}(i)-\underline{y}|}{|x(i)-x|} \rightarrow 0$. Let us focus on p_l where l is arbitrary but fixed, and temporarily denote it by p . We will also temporarily include in the notation of p all its hidden variables. Then

$$p^{(j)}(t, \underline{y}) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p^{(j-1)}(t(i), \underline{y}) - p^{(j-1)}(t, \underline{y})}{t(i) - t} \stackrel{(1)}{=} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p^{(j-1)}(t(i), \underline{y}) - p^{(j-1)}(t(i), \underline{y}(i))}{t(i) - t} \stackrel{(2)}{=} 0.$$

Here (1) holds since $p^{(j-1)}(t, \underline{y}) = p^{(j-1)}(t(i), \underline{y}(i)) = 0$ by the inductive hypothesis. (2) holds since $p^{(j-1)}$ is a fixed finite sum of expressions linear in $\underline{y}$ and $\frac{|\underline{y}(i)-\underline{y}|}{t(i)-t} \rightarrow 0$. This argument can be easily reversed and so the statement is true for j . This completes the induction and thus proves part 1. $\square$

Theorem 2. *Let $f : M^n \rightarrow N^{n+k}$ a generic Morin map. If there exist points $x_i \neq x'_i \in M; (i \geq 1)$ such that $x_i \rightarrow x, x'_i \rightarrow x, x_i \in \Sigma^{1r}(f)$ and $f(x_i) = f(x'_i)$ for every i , then $x \in \Sigma^{1r+1}(f)$.*

Proof. It is obvious that $x \in \Sigma^{1r}(f)$. Let us suppose that $x \in \Sigma^{1r}(f) \setminus \Sigma^{1r+1}(f)$. We can consider f locally around x and introduce Euclidean neighborhoods as before, denoting the function in the new coordinate-system by F . As $x_i \rightarrow x$ and $x'_i \rightarrow x$, these points will fall into the chosen neighborhood with at most finite exceptions. From Lemma 2 it is obvious that the only Σ^{1r} -points of F are those for which $t = 0$ and $\underline{y} = \underline{0}$, and $\underline{s}$ is arbitrary. On the other hand if $F(t, \underline{y}, \underline{s}) = (0, 0, \dots, 0, \underline{0}, \underline{s})$ then obviously $t = 0$ and $\underline{y} = \underline{0}$. So none of the Σ^{1r} -points of F are double points of F which is contradiction. $\square$

If $f : M^n \rightarrow N^{n+k}$ is actually a prim map with lifting $g : M^n \looparrowright N^{n+k} \times \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in \Sigma^{1r}(f) \setminus \Sigma^{1r+1}(f)$, then we can take the Euclidean coordinates around x and $f(x)$ introduced at the beginning of this section, and choose a last extra coordinate around $g(x)$ such that g takes the local form $G(x) = (F(x), t)$. Let $j < r$ and let us consider the set

$$A' = \{(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n : u \in \Sigma^{1j}(F), F(u) = F(v), t(u) \geq t(v)\}$$

and its closure $A = \text{cl}(A')$.

Theorem 3. *The set A is a manifold with boundary $\partial A = \{(u, u) : u \in \Sigma^{1j+1}(F)\}$.*

Proof. Theorem 2 implies that a boundary point of A' must be in $\Sigma^{1j+1}(F)$. We shall give an explicit smooth parametrization of A' on an open halfspace, and show that this extends smoothly and bijectively to a parametrization of $\Sigma^{1j+1}(F)$ on the boundary of the halfspace. It is obvious that the variables $\underline{s}$ play no role whatsoever, so without loss of generality we may assume that $z = 0$ and omit $\underline{s}$ from the further calculations.

The condition $F(u) = F(v)$ obviously implies $\underline{y}(u) = \underline{y}(v)$, so $(u, v) \in A'$ if and only if $t(u) > t(v)$, and $p_i'(t(u)) = p_i''(t(u)) = \dots = p_i^{(j)}(t(u)) = p_i(t(u)) - p_i(t(v)) = 0$ for every i . (Here we think of p_i as a polynomial of one variable. Its coefficients depend on $\underline{y}$, but since $\underline{y}$ is independent of u and v , this notation makes sense.)

We claim that for any choice of parameters $t(v) > t(u), \underline{y}^r, \underline{y}^{r-1}, \dots, \underline{y}^{j+2}$ there is a unique choice of $\underline{y}^{j+1}, \dots, \underline{y}^1$ depending smoothly on the parameters such that the resulting pair of points $(u, v) \in A'$. (In case of $j = r - 1$ there is only a single parameter $t(v) > 0$.)

Let us first deal with the case $j < r - 1$. Then for each i the problem of finding $y_i^{j+1}, y_i^j, \dots, y_i^1$ such that $p_i'(t(u)) = p_i''(t(u)) = \dots = p_i^{(j)}(t(u)) = p_i(t(u)) - p_i(t(v)) = 0$ holds can be solved independently of each other. In fact the problem is the same for every i , so we fix an arbitrary i and denote $p_i(t) = p(t) = \lambda_r t^r + \dots + \lambda_1 t$ temporarily. Let us write $p(t) = q(t) + \lambda_{j+2} t^{j+2} + \dots + \lambda_r t^r = q(t) + r(t)$. Since $\lambda_r, \dots, \lambda_{j+2}, t(u)$ and $t(v)$ are fixed parameters, we know the value of $r(t(u)), r(t(v)), r'(t(u)), r''(t(u)), \dots, r^{(j)}(t(u))$. We have to find the coefficients of q . Let us write q as a Taylor polynomial around $t(u)$. Then

$$q(t) = q(t(u)) + \sum_{i=1}^j q^{(i)}(t(u)) \cdot \frac{(t - t(u))^i}{i!} + \lambda_{j+1} \cdot (t - t(u))^{j+1}. \quad (1)$$

Since $0 = p^{(i)}(t(u)) = q^{(i)}(t(u)) + r^{(i)}(t(u))$, in (1) the only unknown value is λ_{j+1} . By definition

$$q(t(v)) - q(t(u)) = p(t(v)) - r(t(v)) + r(t(u)) - p(t(u)) = r(t(u)) - r(t(v)),$$

and hence by substituting $t = t(v)$ in (1) we get that

$$\lambda_{j+1} = \left(\frac{1}{t(v) - t(u)} \right)^{j+1} \cdot \left(r(t(u)) - r(t(v)) - \sum_{i=1}^j q^{(i)}(t(u)) \cdot \frac{(t - t(u))^i}{i!} \right)$$

As every quantity on the right hand side is fixed and $t(u) > t(v)$ we find that the parameters uniquely and smoothly determine λ_{j+1} . Then all the remaining λ 's are uniquely and smoothly determined by the Taylor expansion (1). Finally to see what happens on the boundary of the halfspace $t(u) > t(v)$ just observe, that the vanishing of the derivatives of p at $t(u)$ imply that $p(t) = p(t(u)) + (t - t(u))^{j+1} \cdot w(t)$ for some polynomial $w(t)$. Then the equation $p(t(v)) = p(t(u))$ is equivalent to $w(t(v)) = 0$. Then if $t(v) - t(u)$ converges to 0 the solution will converge to a $w(t)$ for which $w(t(u)) = 0$, which is equivalent to saying that $p^{(j+1)}(t(u)) = 0$. So the boundary of the halfspace $t(u) > t(v)$ parametrizes those points (u, u) for which $p'(t(u)) = p''(t(u)) = \dots = p^{(j+1)}(t(u)) = 0$ which is equivalent to $u \in \Sigma^{1_{j+1}}(F)$.

Now consider the case $j = r - 1$. The only parameter is $t(v)$. Let us suppose that we have a solution u that satisfies all the equations. Let $i \geq 1$. Then $p_i(t)$ is a degree r polynomial for which the first r derivatives vanish at $t(u)$. Thus $p_i = c_i \cdot (t - t(u))^r$. Further we know that $p_i(t(v)) = p_i(t(u)) = 0$ while $t(v) > t(u)$. This is only possible if $c_i = 0$. So all the p_i 's must be identically 0, except for p_0 . Let us temporarily denote $p_0(t) = p(t) = t^{r+1} + \lambda_{r-1} t^{r-1} + \dots + \lambda_1 t$. The constraints on the derivatives imply that

$$p(t) = p(t(u)) + p^{(r)}(t(u)) \cdot \frac{(t - t(u))^r}{r!} + (t - t(u))^{r+1}.$$

The polynomial p has no x^r term by definition, so $p^{(r)}(t(u)) = r!(r+1)t(u)$, and so

$$p(t) = p(t(u)) + (t + r \cdot t(u))(t - t(u))^r.$$

Finally

$$p(t(u)) = p(t(v)) = p(t(u)) + (t(v) + r \cdot t(u))(t(v) - t(u))^r,$$

so $t(u) = -t(v)/r$, and $p(0) = 0$ determines $p(t(u))$. Thus indeed for any $t(v) > 0$ there is a unique solution u , this solution is smoothly parametrized by $t(v)$, and the boundary $t(v) = 0$ goes to the only Σ^{1_r} -point, the origin. $\square$

References

- [1] V. I. Arnol'd, V. V. Goryunov, O. V. Lyashko V. A. Vassiliev, 'Singularities I. Local and global theory', *Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences* vol.6. Dynamical Systems VI. (Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1993.)
- [2] F. Ronga, 'On multiple points of smooth immersions', *Comment. Math. Helv.* **55** (1980) 521-527.
- [3] A. Szűcs, 'On the cobordism group of immersions and embeddings', *Math Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* 109 (1981) 343-349.
- [4] A. Szűcs, 'On the singularities of hyperplane projections of immersions', *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 32 (2000) 364-374.